# The Role of Traditional Leadership in Digital Transformation: Balancing Local Wisdom with Technological Advancement in the Modern World

Latip<sup>1</sup>, Amândio de Araújo Sarmento<sup>2</sup>, Laela Tambawang<sup>3</sup>

Email Correspondent: latip.stiadmi@gmail.com

### **Keywords:**

## Traditional leadership, digital transformation, local wisdom.

#### **Abstract**

In the digital transformation era, the integration of advanced technology with cultural heritage has become increasingly important, especially in societies where traditional leadership plays a central role. This study explores how traditional leadership contributes to digital transformation processes without undermining local wisdom. Using a qualitative literature review method, the research draws on 10 peer-reviewed articles published between 2019 and 2024. The data were analyzed thematically to identify patterns and dynamics in leadership adaptation, digital adoption, and sociocultural integration. Findings reveal that traditional leaders act as value mediators, bridging the gap between modern digital systems and communal customs. Their involvement enhances community trust and increases the success rate of technology adoption in rural and culturally rooted settings. Additionally, the study finds that transformational leadership infused with local values can accelerate inclusive innovation, reduce digital divides, and protect cultural identities. However, it also highlights challenges such as digital illiteracy among elder leaders and the risk of value erosion. The study concludes that effective digital transformation in local contexts requires a cultural-centric leadership strategy that integrates both technological proficiency and social legitimacy. This research contributes to the ongoing discourse on leadership transformation by emphasizing the importance of local wisdom in shaping sustainable digital futures.



This is an open access article under the CC BY License

#### INTRODUCTION

Digital transformation has become inevitable in the era of increasingly digitalized globalization, where information technology is fundamentally changing the way organizations, communities, and governments operate (Vial, 2021). In this context, the speed of technology adoption is a determinant of success, but challenges arise when traditional values and local leadership practices are not ready to keep up with the pace of change (Fauzan, 2025). While most

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lancang Kuning College of Administrative Scienses, Indonesia, latip.stiadmi@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> International Relations Department, Universidade Nacional Timor Loros'ae-UNTL, Timor Leste, amandioaraujo70@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Universitas Yapis Papua, Indonesia, tambawanglaela@gmail.com

organizations focus on technology, aspects of culture and leadership are often overlooked, even though both are important elements in the ongoing transformation process (Nambisan et al., 2019).

Digital transformation is the process of integrating digital technologies into all aspects of businesses and organizations, changing the way they operate and deliver value to customers. This process goes beyond simply adopting new technologies; it includes changes in organizational culture, mindset, and business models. For example, according to Gorelova et al. (2025), digital transformation encourages organizations to develop a more open and collaborative digital innovation ecosystem, including digital and integrated interactions between communities, organizations, and geographic regions (Gorelova et al., 2025). Meanwhile, Jiang et al. (2025) emphasized that climate policy uncertainty also encourages companies to accelerate intelligent transformation in order to maintain competitiveness and improve operational efficiency (Jiang et al., 2025).

Digital transformation is also closely related to the use of artificial intelligence (AI), cloud computing, and big data analytics. Tarhini et al. (2025) noted that many organizations in Asia are starting to make digital transformation a primary strategy to achieve social sustainability and improve human well-being (Tarhini et al., 2025). In this context, challenges such as organizational cultural readiness, resistance to change, and limited human resources are the main obstacles that must be overcome for the transformation process to run effectively (Djedid, 2025). Therefore, digital transformation is not only about technology, but also includes a strategic approach, adaptation of organizational culture, and a shift in leadership paradigm.

In many communities, especially those based on customs or local wisdom, traditional leadership still has a major influence on decision-making patterns and strategic orientation (Masniar et al., 2025). This creates a space for dialogue between technological modernization and the conservation of local cultural values. Traditional leadership often acts as a mediator of values between progress and preservation of identity (Kabha et al., 2023). Therefore, understanding how traditional leaders navigate the digital era is key to integrating technological transformation without losing the cultural roots of the community.

However, this adaptation does not always go smoothly. Differences in perception between the digital native generation and community leaders who are oriented towards local values often lead to internal conflicts (Jones et al., 2010). Challenges also arise in the form of limited digital literacy among traditional leaders and resistance to technological change (Burri, 2010). However, research shows that traditional leaders who are able to bridge local values and digitalization can strengthen social cohesion and accelerate the adoption of community-based innovations (Bousdekis & Kardaras, 2020).

The urgency of this research lies in the need to explore the strategic role of traditional leaders in managing digital change without ignoring the cultural roots that are the social foundation of society. When digital transformation only focuses on the technological aspect, the process is at risk of implementation failure due to a lack of conformity with social norms that exist in society (Zhang et al., 2023).

Previous studies have focused more on digital transformation in the corporate and government sectors, without highlighting the cultural aspects in local communities (Kane et al., 2019; Li et al., 2018). Only a few studies have examined the relationship between traditional leadership forms and digital strategies, even though the local context can influence the success or failure of such changes (Yufriadi et al., 2024). This indicates a relevant research gap to be filled, especially in areas with high socio-cultural diversity such as Indonesia.

This study aims to analyze how traditional leadership plays a role in the digital transformation process in local communities. This research will also examine how local wisdom

values can interact and synergize with modern technology to produce an inclusive, sustainable, and contextual transformation process in accordance with local socio-cultural realities.

#### **METHOD**

This study uses a qualitative approach with a literature review as its main method. This approach was chosen because the topic raised, namely the role of traditional leadership in digital transformation, is conceptual and requires an in-depth understanding of relevant theories, concepts, and previous findings. Literature studies allow researchers to explore, compare, and integrate the results of previous studies in order to obtain a comprehensive perspective on the dynamics of interaction between local wisdom and technological progress in the context of leadership (Snyder, 2019).

The data sources in this study consist of secondary data collected from various relevant scientific publications, including accredited international and national journals, academic books, research reports, and policy documents. The articles used as references were obtained through trusted academic platforms such as Google Scholar, ScienceDirect, and Scopus, with a publication period of the last five years (2019–2024) to maintain relevance with the latest developments in the study of digital transformation and local leadership (Boell & Cecez-Kecmanovic, 2014).

The data collection technique was carried out through a systematic search process with customized keywords, such as "traditional leadership," "digital transformation," "local wisdom," and "cultural adaptation to technology." The search results were selected based on inclusion criteria such as journal quality (indexed and reputable), topic relevance, and contribution to the research focus. Literature that did not meet the exclusion criteria such as being contextually irrelevant or not sourced from academic journals was excluded from the analysis.

The data analysis method used in this study was content analysis, which aims to identify patterns, concepts, and relationships between variables that appear in the literature. This analysis was carried out thematically, namely by grouping findings based on categories such as forms of traditional leadership, forms of interaction with digital technology, local value adaptation strategies, and challenges and opportunities in the digital transformation process (Bowen, 2009). This procedure allows researchers to compile an argumentative synthesis that not only describes the empirical situation but also offers a theoretical framework that can be used for further studies.

With this approach, it is hoped that the research results will be able to contribute to theoretical and practical understanding of how traditional leadership can play an active role in the era of digital transformation, as well as how local values can contribute to shaping the direction of inclusive and sustainable digital policies.

#### RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The following are 5 selected journal articles that have been screened and made significant contributions to the topic "The Role of Traditional Leadership in Digital Transformation: Balancing Local Wisdom with Technological Advancement":

Table 1. Literature Review

| No |          |               | Author |             |        | Contribution                    |      |                               |
|----|----------|---------------|--------|-------------|--------|---------------------------------|------|-------------------------------|
| 1  | Local    | Wisdom        | and    | Traditional | (Bahri | et                              | al., | Explain how indigenous values |
|    | Leaders  | erspective of | 2024)  |             |        | and local leadership facilitate |      |                               |
|    | Particip | inability of  |        |             |        | community participation in the  |      |                               |
|    | Industr  |               |        |             |        | context of industrialization    |      |                               |

| 2 | Transformational Leadership Based on                            | (Muhibbin et     | Show indicators of effective   |
|---|---|------------------|--|
|   | Local Wisdom in the Multiple                                    | al., 2020)       | leadership to bridge the digital   |
|   | Intelligences and the Efforts to                                |                  | divide in educational  |
|   | Overcome Digital Gap  |                  | environments   |
| 3 | Integrating Local Wisdom And Modern                             | (Luqmi et al.,   | Provide a model of cultural  |
|   | Technology To Address Society 5.0 Era                           | 2025)            | digitalization that strengthens  |
|   | Challenges In Culture   |                  | cultural identity and economic   |
|   |   |                  | resilience   |
| 4 | Analysis of Leadership Style and Digital                        | (Indrayanto et   | Show a village head with a   |
|   |   |                  |  |
|   | Transformation in Village                                       | al., 2023)       | transformational leadership  |
|   | Transformation in Village                                       | al., 2023)       | transformational leadership<br>style successfully driving the                                  |
|   | Transformation in Village                                       | al., 2023)       | •  |
|   | Transformation in Village                                       | al., 2023)       | style successfully driving the   |
| 5 | Transformation in Village  Leadership's Digital Transformation: | (Schrage et al., | style successfully driving the adoption of digital technologies                                |
| 5 |   |                  | style successfully driving the adoption of digital technologies while maintaining local values |

In an in-depth literature study on The Role of Traditional Leadership in Digital Transformation: Balancing Local Wisdom with Technological Advancement in the Modern World, it was found that traditional leadership has a strategic and dynamic role in aligning local values with the demands of digitalization in various sectors of society. This finding was obtained from the synthesis of 10 relevant scientific articles and covers diverse social contexts: from indigenous communities, educational institutions, village governments, to modern organizations and the public sector.

In general, the findings from the article by Bahri et al. (2024) show that social capital formed in the traditional leadership structure is a vital element in the sustainability of community participation in the industrial era. Traditional leaders play an important role in maintaining harmony between cultural norms and the needs of social development. In other words, they are not only guardians of ancestral values, but also facilitators in directing society to face structural changes due to globalization and digitalization (Bahri et al., 1998).

Muhibbin et al. (2020) added another important dimension, namely locally-based transformational leadership in the context of basic education. This study shows that principals who combine local values (such as deliberation, mutual cooperation, and role models) with transformational principles are able to increase the motivation of teachers and students in utilizing technology. Interestingly, this approach has been shown to reduce the digital divide which is usually caused by geographical and infrastructure factors. The digital innovation carried out is not based on hierarchical instructions alone, but comes from collective involvement that is based on local culture (Muhibbin et al., 2020).

Meanwhile, Luqmi et al. (2025) present an integrative study that places cultural values and technology in a mutually reinforcing position. They develop a model that explains how Indonesian cultural institutions can use digital technology, not only to preserve traditions, but also to create new economic spaces within the framework of Society 5.0. In this case, traditional leadership plays a curatorial role—sorting out which cultural content is relevant for digitalization, and directing the community so as not to get caught up in global imitations that can erode local identity (Luqmi et al., 2025).

The article by Indrayanto et al. (2023) discusses a field study in Banyumas village that implemented the digitalization of village government through the transformational leadership of the village head. The findings show that the success of technology implementation in village

administration is greatly influenced by the communicative and participatory approach of the village head who still upholds local customs. This is where the synergy between digital-based information systems and traditional social mechanisms such as village discussions and the role of traditional leaders emerges (Indrayanto et al., 2024).

Furthermore, Schrage et al. (2021) present a conceptual approach that successful digital leadership must be value-driven, namely based on organizational values that can be accepted by all stakeholders. Although the context of their research is more on modern organizations, this principle is very relevant in framing how traditional leadership can transform into a digital actor without losing its basic character (Schrage et al., 2021).

#### Discussion

In the ever-evolving digital era, the role of traditional leadership in local communities is increasingly showing its urgency. As guardians of values, norms, and cultural identities, traditional leaders such as traditional chiefs, community leaders, or religious leaders are not only cultural symbols, but also have a strategic role in aligning the dynamics of technological change with the sociocultural realities of their communities. In the midst of a digital transformation that tends to be global and uniform, traditional leadership is present as an important bridge between local values and modern technology.

Their role includes key functions such as mediating the process of technology adoption, adapting it to the local context, and ensuring that digital transformation does not marginalize community identity. In many cases, these leaders help determine the direction of technology use: whether it will be used to support cultural preservation, strengthen social networks, or increase local economic capacity. The trust that traditional leaders have makes them effective in directing and legitimizing digital policies, including in socializing new innovations to communities that are not yet familiar with technology.

In a broader framework, successful digital transformation in local communities is not only about providing infrastructure, but also the extent to which technology can interact harmoniously with local wisdom. Values such as mutual cooperation, deliberation, harmony with nature, and respect for ancestors can be the foundation of ethics in the use of technology. Local wisdom does not have to be abandoned in the face of modernity, but can be a filter that guides the use of technology so that it remains rooted in local contexts and needs.

The synergy between local wisdom and technological advances has begun to be seen in various initiatives, such as the development of community-based village information systems, the use of digital technology for cultural documentation, and educational platforms based on local languages and values. These initiatives show that technology does not have to be a threat to culture, but can instead be a tool for preserving and empowering communities if directed properly.

However, this transformation is not without challenges. One of the main obstacles is the digital literacy gap, especially between the younger generation who are more adaptive to technology and traditional leaders who generally come from the older generation. In addition, there are concerns that the rapid flow of digital information can erode cultural values that have been closely guarded. Another challenge arises from the potential politicization of technology, where digitalization is utilized by certain parties for political or economic interests that are not always in line with the collective values of society.

To overcome these challenges, a digital transformation model based on local culture needs to be designed. This model prioritizes the active participation of traditional leaders in every stage of digital transformation — from planning to implementation. With this approach, technology is no longer imposed from the outside, but rather born from within the community itself, according to

shared needs, values, and goals. This kind of digital transformation is not only more inclusive, but also sustainable because it is supported by established social and cultural structures.

Ultimately, true digital transformation is one that respects socio-cultural diversity, and wisely integrates technological advances with local values. Traditional leadership has a vital role to play in ensuring that the process is meaningful, not only changing the way people live, but also strengthening their identity and empowerment in facing the digital future.

#### CONCLUSION

This study concludes that traditional leadership plays a crucial and strategic role in aligning technological innovation with local values in the context of digital transformation. The synthesis of the literature demonstrates that traditional leaders serve not only as cultural custodians but also as catalysts for inclusive and context-sensitive technological adoption. Their involvement significantly enhances community acceptance of digital innovation while preserving social cohesion and identity.

Practically, it is recommended that digital development initiatives in rural or culturally embedded communities actively involve traditional leaders at every stage. Their endorsement can legitimize innovations and bridge gaps in understanding between technology providers and local residents. Moreover, policy frameworks should recognize and formalize the role of these leaders in digital governance.

However, this study is limited by its exclusive reliance on secondary data from selected academic sources, which may not capture on-the-ground complexities. Direct field research and stakeholder interviews would enrich the insights and validate the assumptions drawn from the literature.

Future research should consider mixed-method studies that include empirical validation through case studies and participatory action research in communities undergoing digital transitions. Investigating comparative models across regions with differing cultural resilience to digital change may also deepen the theoretical framework and practical recommendations.

#### REFERENCE

- Bahri, S., Iskandar, I., Harifuddin, H., & Burchanuddin, A. (1998). Local Wisdom and Traditional Leadership Systems: The Perspective of Participation and Sustainability of Industrial Communities. *Migration Letters*, *20*(7), 928–941.
- Boell, S. K., & Cecez-Kecmanovic, D. (2014). A hermeneutic approach for conducting literature reviews and literature searches. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, *34*(1), 12.
- Bousdekis, A., & Kardaras, D. (2020). Digital transformation of local government: A case study from Greece. *2020 IEEE 22nd Conference on Business Informatics (CBI)*, *2*, 131–140.
- Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, *9*(2), 27–40.
- Burri, M. (2010). Digital technologies and traditional cultural expressions: A positive look at a difficult relationship. *International Journal of Cultural Property, 17*(1), 33–63.
- Fauzan, A. (2025). The Transformation of Traditional Culture in Responding to the Challenges of Globalization in Local Indonesian Communities. *The Journal of Academic Science, 2*(3), 1021–1030.
- Gorelova, I., Rudko, I., D'Ascenzo, F., & Bellini, F. (2025). Conceptualizing and defining digital innovation ecosystems: A systematic literature review. *Management*, *20*(1), 63–81.

- Indrayanto, A., Ramadhanti, W., & Hudaya, Z. A. (2024). Analysis of Leadership Style and Digital Transformation in Banyumas Villages. *World Conference on Governance and Social Sciences (WCGSS 2023)*, 586–598.
- Jiang, T., Liu, J., Dai, J., & Jiang, H. (2025). Golden-Edged Dark Clouds: Climate Policy Uncertainty and Corporate Intelligent Transformation. *Sustainability*, *17*(11), 5162.
- Jones, C., Ramanau, R., Cross, S., & Healing, G. (2010). Net generation or Digital Natives: Is there a distinct new generation entering university? *Computers & Education*, *54*(3), 722–732.
- Kabha, R., Kelkar, A. S., & Kamel, A. M. (2023). Digital Disruptions and its Impact on Traditional Marketing Practices. *Educational Research (IJMCER)*, *5*(5), 128–137.
- Kane, G. C., Palmer, D., Phillips, A. N., Kiron, D., & Buckley, N. (2019). Accelerating digital innovation inside and out. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 0\_1-18.
- Li, L., Su, F., Zhang, W., & Mao, J. (2018). Digital transformation by SME entrepreneurs: A capability perspective. *Information Systems Journal*, *28*(6), 1129–1157.
- Luqmi, F. Z., Abas, E., Istiana, I., & Karmila, K. (2025). Integrating Local Wisdom And Modern Technology To Address Society 5.0 Era Challenges In Culture. *Jurnal Impresi Indonesia*, *4*(1), 1052–1061.
- Masniar, M., Perangin–Angin, D. S. B. R., Lubis, W., & Winara, W. (2025). Peran Pemimpin Adat dalam Membangun Komunitas yang Harmonis dan Mempertahankan Warisan Budaya. *RISOMA: Jurnal Riset Sosial Humaniora Dan Pendidikan*, *3*(4), 102–112.
- Muhibbin, A., Fatoni, A., Hidayat, O. T., & Arifin, Z. (2020). Transpormation Leadership Based on Local Wisdom in the Multiple Intelligences and the Efforts to Overcome Digital Gap. *ICSSED 2020: The Proceedings of the 4th International Conference of Social Science and Education, ICSSED 2020, August 4-5 2020, Yogyakarta, Indonesia*, 307.
- Nambisan, S., Wright, M., & Feldman, M. (2019). The digital transformation of innovation and entrepreneurship: Progress, challenges and key themes. *Research Policy*, *48*(8), 103773.
- Schrage, M., Pring, B., Kiron, D., & Dickerson, D. (2021). *Leadership's digital transformation*.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, *104*, 333–339.
- Tarhini, A., Dabbous, A., Harfouche, A., & Wamba, S. F. (2025). Digital transformation for societal sustainability and human betterment in Asian countries: strategies, challenges, and impact. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*, *19*(3), 583–585.
- Vial, G. (2021). Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda. *Managing Digital Transformation*, 13–66.
- Yufriadi, F., Syahriani, F., & Afifi, A. A. (2024). Trade transformation in the digital era: Agency role, opportunities and challenges. *AL-IMAM: Journal on Islamic Studies, Civilization and Learning Societies*, *5*(1), 13–23.
- Zhang, X., Nutakor, F., Minlah, M. K., & Li, J. (2023). Can digital transformation drive green transformation in manufacturing companies?—Based on socio-technical systems theory perspective. *Sustainability*, *15*(3), 2840.